GÖBEKLİTEPE

International Journal Of Health Sciences

e-ISSN: 2757-6221

ARAŞTIRMA MAKALESİ

Arrival Date : 28.04.2024 Published Date : 30.09.2024 2024, Vol:7, Issue:17 pp: 24-40 DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.13988494

HASTANELERDE ÇALIŞAN FARKLI MESLEK GRUPLARININ ORGAN BAĞIŞINA İLİŞKİN GÖRÜŞLERİ: KESİTSEL BİR ARAŞTIRMA

Hatice GÜZEL

Dr. Öğr. Üyesi, SANKO Üniversitesi, Sağlık Bilimleri Fakültesi Hemşirelik Bölümü, hguzel8080@gmail.com, Gaziantep/Turkey. 0000-0001-9388-5247

Nimet OVAYOLU

Prof. Dr. SANKO Üniversitesi, Sağlık Bilimleri Fakültesi Hemşirelik Bölümü, drnimetovayolu@gmail.com, Gaziantep/Türkiye, 0000-0002-4505-0349

Öz

Bu çalışma hastanede çalışan farklı meslek gruplarının organ bağışı ile ilgili düşüncelerini belirlemek amacıyla yapıldı. Araştırmanın evrenini bir hastanede çalışan toplam 887 kişi oluşturdu ve çalışmaya katılmaya gönüllü 519 kişi ile çalışma tamamlandı. Anket, Google formları kullanılarak bir link aracılığıyla kurum çalışanlarının cep telefonlarına gönderilmiştir. Katılımcıların eğitim durumu lisans/lisanüstü olanların %91.3'ünün, meslek grubunda doktorların %94.1'inin, hemşirelerin %85.8'inin, tıbbi sekreterlerin %88.2'sinin organ bağışı hakkında bilgi sahibi oldukları (p=0.001), doktorların %100, hemşirelerin %92.1'i, tıbbi sekreterlerin %95.6'sının organ bağışını dini açıdan uygun gördükleri, öldükten sonra organ bağışı yapılmasını istedikleri (p=0.000), ihtiyaç durumunda başka birinin organını kabul edecekleri ve müslüman olmayan kişiye organ bağışı yapabilecekleri saptandı (p=0.000). Bu sonuçlar doğrultusunda organ bağışı ihtiyacının fazla olduğu ülkemizde, özellikle hastane personellerinin periyodik olarak düzenlenecek hizmet içi eğitimler ile bilinçlendirilmesi, organ bağışına yönlendirilmesi ve sağlık çalışanlarının organ bağışına teşvik konusunda planlamalar yapması önerilmektedir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Organ Bağışı, Hastane Personeli, Beyin Ölümü, Bilgi Düzeyi.

THE OPINIONS of DIFFERENT OCCUPATIONAL GROUPS WORKING in HOSPITALS ABOUT ORGAN DONATION

Abstract

The aim of this study was conducted to determine the opinions of different occupational groups working in the hospital regarding organ donation. The population of the study consisted of a total of 887 people working in a hospital: and the study was successfully completed with 519 individuals who were voluntary to participate in the study. The questionnaire was sent to the mobile phones of the employees of the institution via a link using Google forms. Of the participants, 91.3% of those with undergraduate/graduate education and 94.1% of physicians, 85.8% of nurses, and 88.2% of medical secretaries in the occupational groups had knowledge about organ donation (p=0.001), 100% of physicians, 92.1% of nurses and 95.6% of the medical secretaries found organ donation religiously appropriate, they wanted organ donation after death (p=0.000), they would accept someone else's organ in case of need and they could donate organs to a non-Muslim (p=0.000). In line with these results, it is recommended that awareness about organ donation among hospital staff be raised through periodic in-service trainings, they be directed to organ donation, and healthcare professionals make plans to promote organ donation in Turkey, where there is a high demand for organ transplantation.

Keywords: Organ Donation, Hospital Staff, Brain Death, Level of Knowledge

1. INTRODUCTION

Organ donation refers the consent of an individual to use her tissues and organs for the treatment of other people after her medical death, with her own will and without being under anyone's pressure. As per its definition, organ donation encompasses donating either a whole organ or a portion of it by alive donors, as well as donating organs from deceased individuals, i.e., cadavers (1,2). Nowadays, the retrieval of organs is feasible from deceased neonates to deceased individuals aged up to 60 years, while individuals who are 18 years old and older and possess a sound mental state are eligible to donate organs¹. Organ transplantation from either living or deceased donors is a prominent option for patients suffering from dysfunction in particular organs (3).

The primary objective of organ transplantation is to give individuals a chance for a better quality of life by protecting their fundamental rights to life and bodily integrity. Today, it is known that tissue and organ transplantation is the only treatment modality for many diseases (2). The paramount determinant in the achievement of organ transplantation, a treatment method used to replace a vital organ that has been lost or is incapable of adequately performing its function, lies in the accessibility of the necessary organ. The sole option and solution to make this possible would be a satisfactory level of organ donation (1,2,4). Despite notable advancements in recent years in the fields of technology, pharmaceuticals, and surgical techniques, the primary challenge that persists is the procurement of organs (2). In terms of organ procurement, approximately 61% of transplants in the United States and 82% of transplants in Europe are from cadaver donors. Regarding global rankings, Spain holds the top rank with 49.6 cadaveric donors per million people. Turkey ranks 41st in the world, with six cadaveric donors per million people, and approximately 87% of all transplants in the last ten years have been from living donors. As assessed from international perspective, rates of cadaveric organ transplantation in Turkey are not at the required level. And the greatest expectation in the forthcoming years is to increase rates of organ donation from cadaveric donors, as reported in Europe and the USA (5). However, it is evident that organ scarcity remains a worldwide challenge for organ transplantation (6). Because organ transplants in the world can only meet 10% of the existing needs. Due to the insufficiency in organ supply, the number of patients on the organ waiting list increases every year and patients die while waiting for organs (4,7).

The factors causing the insufficient fulfilment of organ demand include lack of knowledge regarding organ donation, religious beliefs, worries about potential disruption to bodily integrity, and views about potential disrespect toward corpse (8). Other factors include inadequate information acquired from the media regarding organ donation, individuals' attitudes toward organ transplantation, lack of continuity in organ donation and deficiencies in the registration system, perceptions surrounding brain death and the acquisition/confirmation of family consent, and medical impartiality in determining procedures, which have emerged as significant challenges in several countries worldwide. Several related studies conducted in Turkey have reported that individuals do not engage in organ donation, nor do they donate their organs voluntarily, nor do they consider organ donation (4,9). These negative attitudes are explained by the fact that organ donation is affected by cultural practices and religious beliefs. Hence, organ donation campaigns and associated trainings have a substantial effect on increasing rates of organ donation. Healthcare professionals play a crucial role in maintaining these campaigns and altering public perspectives. However, a study reported that despite the willingness of nursing students to donate their organs, their knowledge of organ donation, the process of organ transplantation, and brain death was inadequate (4). However, it is stated that in order to increase organ donation, the education level and awareness of healthcare professionals who provide information on this subject are important. Given the significant impact on the development of sufficient social awareness regarding this matter, it is highly effective to emphasize the significance of organ transplantation in the education of healthcare professionals (10). Therefore, in order to increase organ donation, it is necessary to eliminate the lack of knowledge and rejective attitude and overcome the obstacles related to this issue in all areas (8).

In this regard, there is a need to determine the opinions affecting organ donation in different professional groups that are in contact with patients or their relatives, especially in countries with low organ donation rates such as Turkey. Once these opinions are determined, providing sufficient information about organ donation in order to eliminate negative thoughts and strengthen positive thoughts is one of the most effective ways to raise awareness. For this reason, the aim of this study is to determine the opinions of different occupational groups serving in the hospital regarding organ donation.

2. METHODS

2.1. Study Design

This descriptive and cross-sectional study was conducted to determine the opinions of different occupational groups, working in a hospital in the city center of XXXX, regarding organ donation.

2.2. Population and Sample

The population of the study consisted of a total of 887 people employed in a hospital: 80 physicians, 253 nurses, 141 counsellors, 82 porters, 26 security guards, 38 kitchen workers, and 102 housekeepers. It was planned to include the entire population without making sample calculation, and the study was successfully completed with 519 individuals who were voluntary to participate in the study.

2.3. Data Collection Tools

Questionnaire

It is a questionnaire prepared upon the literature review to gather data on the participants' characteristics (11,12). This form includes 28 questions pertaining to age, gender, profession, educational level, marital status, department, tenure in the profession, and organ donation.

2.4. Data Collection

The questionnaire was sent to the mobile phones of the institution's employees through a link using Google Forms. After completing the questionnaire, the participants were instructed to send it back to the researchers through e-mail. A total of 519 people fully responded to the questions.

2.5. Data Analysis

Chi-square test was used to analyze the data obtained with the questionnaire. p<0.05 was considered as significant.

2.6. Ethical Considerations

Before beginning the study, approval from the SANKO University ethics committee, permission from the institution where the study would take place, and consent from the participants included in the study were obtained via Google Forms (Approval no: 2020/05, Date: 03.03.2020).

3. RESULTS

It was found that 32.6% of the participants were between the ages of 24 and 29, 57.2% were male, 53.0% were married, 36.6% were nurses, 39.3% had a high school degree, and 54.1% had a tenure of 0 to 5 years (Table 1).

Characteristics	n	%
Age (minmax.)		
18-23	117	22.5
24-29	169	32.6
30-35	65	12.5
35 and above	168	32.4
Gender		
Female	297	57.2
Male	222	42.8
Marital Status		
Married	275	53.0
Single	244	47.0
Profession		
Physician	17	3.3
Nurse	190	36.6
Medical Secretary	68	13.1
Technician	105	20.2
Administrative/finance staff	33	6.4
Other	106	20.4
Educational Level		
Primary School	68	13.1
High School	204	39.3
Associate Degree	98	18.9
Bachelor's/Master's degree	149	28.7
Tenure (Year)		
0-5	281	54.1
6-11	121	23.3
12-17	48	9.3
18 and above	69	13.3
Total	519	100

3.1. Results Pertaining to the Opinions of Occupational Groups Working in the Hospital on Organ Donation

It was determined that 79.8% of the participants had knowledge on organ donation, 44.9% were informed by healthcare professionals, 81.9% were aware of the institutions involved in organ donation, 89.8% stated that organ donation is appropriate for our religion, 84.6% had not donated their organs, 15.8% had not donated their organs due to familial reasons, and 16.2% had not donated their organs due to their poor health condition. Furthermore, it was found that 72.1% of the participants responded that "the family members of an individual who donates his/her organs and has been diagnosed with brain dead may consent to the harvesting of the donated organs". It was

determined that 67.6% of the participants wanted their relatives to donate their organs after death, 58.2% wanted their relatives to take the intervention for organ donation after brain death, and 27.9% did not want to take the intervention as they were unwilling to assume responsibility for someone else. Moreover, 50.9% of the participants considered that healthcare professionals had insufficient knowledge about organ donation and transplantation; 50.1% of the participants were uncertain about whether or not organs were donated in exchange for financial compensation; and 35.1% were unaware of the possibility of bias in the organ waiting list. It was also found that 91.7% of the participants had no relatives awaiting organs, 80.9% of the participants had no relatives who had ever undergone organ transplantation, 75% of the participants had no relatives who did donate their organs, 89.2% of the participants would accept another person's organ if they needed organ donation, 85.2% of the participants would donate organs to people of the opposite gender, and 75.1% of the participants would donate organs to non-Muslims (Table 2).

Characteristics	n	%
Knowledge on organ donation		
Yes	414	79.8
No	105	20.2
Sources for knowledge regarding organ donation		
Health care professionals	233	44.9
Social media	33	6.4
Television, newspapers or magazines	38	7.3
Relatives	7	1.3
Bachelor's degree	103	19.
Knowing to which institutions the organ is donated		
State hospitals	16	3.
Private hospitals	8	1.
Provincial directorates of health	12	2.
University Hospitals	58	11.2
All	425	81.9
Thinking that organ donation is religiously appropriate		
Yes	466	89.
No	53	10.2
Status of donating organ		
Yes	80	15.4
No	439	84.
Reason for organ donation		
To save lives	42	8.
Due to their beliefs	-	-
To help people.	7	1.
For the organ to restore functioning in other people	9	1.′
Thinking of the possibility that he or his loved ones might need an organ transplant in the future	22	4.

Table 2. Distribution of the Perceptions of Hospital Employees on Organ Donati	on (n=519)

Other

Other	-	-
Reason for refusing organ donation		
Due to their thought that it is not religiously allowable	29	5.6
Due to the fact that bodily integrity will be disrupted.	66	12.7
Due to familial reasons	82	15.8
Due to the fact that they were not knowledgeable with where to apply	34	6.6
Due to their poor medical condition	84	16.2
Due to concerns about the misuse of their organs after death.	51	9.8
Due to the fact that they were not knowledgeable with the recipients of the organs.	21	4.0
Due to the fact that they did not believe that organ transplant centers are capable of delivering	18	3.5
satisfactory service		
Other	51	9.8
Opinions on the organ donors and the persons pronounced brain dead		
The medical support is sustainable.	108	20.8
The patient can be left untreated without medical support.	23	4.4
Patient care can be sustained at home.	14	2.7
Relatives may consent for the retrieval of the organs donated by the deceased person.	374	72.1
The willingness of family members to donate organs		
Yes	351	67.6
No	168	32.4
Making organ donation initiative for a relative who has been pronounced brain dead		
Yes	302	58.2
No	217	41.8
Reason for no intervention (n=217)		
Due to unwillingness to assume responsibility for someone else	145	27.9
Due to the fact that they do not think organ donation is right	11	2.1
Due to the fact that they believe that they would be misunderstood	21	4.0
Due to the fact that they believe that healthcare workers would be more effective thereof	24	4.6
Other	16	3.1
Thinking whether or not their knowledge about organ donation and transplantation is		
sufficient		
Yes	255	49.1
No	264	50.9
Reason for considering that they lack sufficient knowledge (n=264)		
Lack of adequate vocational training on this subject	95	18.3
Lack of adequate in-service training	106	20.4
Lack of knowledge on where to get information	40	7.7
Failure of religious clergy to sufficiently inform on this subject	21	4.0
Other	2	0.4
Thinking that organs are donated in return for financial compensation		

Yes	83	16.0
No	260	50.1
I do not know	176	33.9
Thinking that there is bias in the organ waiting list		
Yes	122	23.5
No	182	35.1
I do not know	215	41.4
Family or immediate circle who await organ transplantation		
Yes	43	8.3
No	476	91.7
Family or immediate circle who had organ transplantation previously		
Yes	99	19.1
No	420	80.9
Family or immediate circle who had previously donated organ		
Yes	130	25.0
No	389	75.0
Accepting someone else's organ if they need organ donation		
Yes	463	89.2
No	56	10.8
Considering to donate organs to a person of the opposite gender		
Yes	442	85.2
No	77	14.8
Considering to donate organs to non-Muslims		
Yes	390	75.1
No	129	24.9
Total	519	100

3.2. Results Pertaining to the Responses of the Occupational Groups Employed in the Hospital on Organ Donation According to Gender

It was determined that 84.8% of the female participants and 73% of the male participants had knowledge on organ donation ($X^2=11.102$, p=0.001), 22% of the female participants and 21.6% of the male participants did not donate their organs due to familial reasons and their poor medical conditions, respectively ($X^2=24.705$, p = 0.002); 77.8% of the female participants and 54.1% of their male counterparts were willing to donate their organs after their death ($X^2=32.661$, p = 0.000); 93.9% of the female participants and 82.9% of their male counterparts would accept someone else's organ if necessary ($X^2=16.134$, p = 0.000); 82.5% of the female ones and 65.3% of the male ones stated that they would donate organs to a non-Muslim ($X^2=20.067$, p = 0.000) (Table 3).

Cable 3. Distribution of Responses by the Participants or Questions	Women (%)	Men n(%)	Statistical
			Value
Having knowledge on organ donation			
Yes	252(84.8)	162(73.0)	X ² =11.102
No	45(15.2)	60(27.0)	p=0.001
Thinking that organ donation is religiously appropriate			
Yes	271(91.2)	195(87.8)	X ² =1.609
No	26(8.8)	27812.29	p=0.205
Status of donating organ			
Yes	49(16.5)	31(14.0)	X ² =0.626
No	248(83.5)	191(86.0)	p=0.429
Reason for organ donation			
To save lives	25(51.0)	17(54.8)	
To help people.	3(6.1)	4(2.9)	
For the organs to restore functioning in other people	6(12.2)	3(9.7)	X ² =1.647
For the future needs of themselves and their relatives	15(30.6)	7(22.6)	p=0.680
Reason for refusing organ donation			
Due to their thought that it is not religiously allowable	11(4.5)	18(9.5)	
Due to the fact that bodily integrity will be disrupted.	32(13.0)	34(17.9)	
Due to familial reasons	54(22.0)	28(14.7)	
Due to the fact that they were not knowledgeable with where to	24(9.8)	10(5.3)	X ² =24.705
apply			
Due to their poor medical condition	43(17.5)	41(21.6)	
Due to concerns about the misuse of their organs	34(13.8)	17(8.9)	p=0.002
Due to the fact that they were not knowledgeable with the	12(4.9)	9(4.7)	
recipients of			
the organs.			
Due to the fact that they did not believe that organ transplant	15(6.1)	3(1.6)	
centers are capable of delivering satisfactory service			
Other	21(8.5)	30(15.8)	
Willingness of organ donation after death			
Yes	231(77.8)	120(54.1)	X ² =32.661
No	66(22.2)	102(45.9)	p=0.000
Family or immediate circle who await organ transplantation			
Yes	25(8.4)	18(8.1)	X ² =0.016
No	272(91.6)	204(91.9)	p=0.899
Family or immediate circle who had previously donated			
organ			
Yes	79(26.6)	51(23.0)	X ² =0.890

Year: 2024 Vol:7 Issue: 17

GÖBEKLİTEPE Internat	tional Journal Of Health	Sciences	
No	218(73.4)	171(77.0)	p=0.346
Accepting someone else's organ in case of need			
Yes	279(93.9)	184(82.9)	X ² =16.134
No	18(6.1)	38(17.1)	p=0.000
Donating organs to non-Muslims			
Yes	245(82.5)	145(65.3)	X ² =20.067
No	52(17.5)	77(34.7)	p=0.000

 X^2 = Chi-Square, significant at the level 0.05

3.3. Results Pertaining to the Responses of the Participants to Organ Donation According to Their Profession

It was determined that 94.1% of doctors, 85.8% of nurses, 88.2% of medical secretaries, 72.4% of technicians, 75.8% of administrative/finance staff member and 69.8% of other employees had knowledge on organ donation (X^2 =19.850, **p=0.001**), 22.2% of nurses did not donate organs due to familial reasons, 24.2% of technicians, 22.2% of medical secretaries, and 27.6% of administrative/finance staff members could not donate organs due their "health problems" (X^2 =0.000, **p=0.000**). 57.6% of the administrative staff members were unwilling to donate their organs after death (X^2 =48.834, **p=0.000**), 100% of the physicians would accept someone else's organs after death if necessary (X^2 =34.193, **p=0.000**) and 45.5% of the administrative/finance staff members were unwilling to donate organs to non-Muslims (X^2 =54,142, **p=0.000**) (Table 4).

Table 4. Distribution of Responses by the Participants on Organ Donation According to Their Profession

	Yes	No	Statistical
	n (%)	n (%)	Value
Knowledge on organ donation according to j	orofessional type		
Doctor	16(94.1)	1(5.9)	
Nurse	163(85.8)	27(14.2)	X ² =19.850
Medical Secretary	60(88.2)	8(11.8)	p=0.001
Technician	76(72.4)	29(27.6)	
Administrative/finance staff member	25(75.8)	8(24.2)	
Other			
	74(69.8)	32(30.2)	
Thinking that organ donation is religiously a	ppropriate		
Doctor	17(100)	-(0)	X ² =10.737
Nurse	175(92.1)	15(7.9)	p=0.057
Medical Secretary	65(95.6)	3(4.4)	
Technician	91(86.7)	14(13.3)	
Administrative/finance staff member	27(81.8)	6(18.2)	
Other	91(85.8)	15(14.2)	
Status of donating organ			
Doctor	7(41.2)	10(58.8)	X ² =12.582
Nurse	32(16.8)	158(83.2)	p=0.028

Year: 2024 Vol:7 Issue: 17

Medical Secretary			13(19.1)		55(80.9)														
Technician		12		2) 93(88.6)															
Administrative/finance staff mem	ance staff member 4(12.1) 29(87.9)		ve/finance staff member 4(12.1) 29(87.9)		ace staff member 4(12.1) 29(87.9)		aff member 4(12.1) 29(87.9)		4(12.1) 29(87.9)		4(12.1) 29(87.9)		4(12.1) 29(87.9)		4(12.1) 29(87.9)		4(12.1) 29(87.9)		
Other			12(11.3)	94(88.7)															
Reason for organ donation																			
	Doctor	Nurse	Medical Secretary	Technician	Administrative Staff member	Other													
To save lives	3(42.9)	15(46.9)	8(61.5)	6(50.0)	2(50.0)	8(66.7)													
To help people.	2(28.6)	1(3.1)	-(0)	3(25.0)	-(0)	1(8.3)	$X^2 = 21.11$												
Survival of organs in someone else	2(28.6)	3(9.4)	-(0)	1(8.3)	2(50.0)	1(8.3)													
For the future	-(0)	13(40.6)	5(38.5)	2(16.7)	-(0)	2(16.7)	p=0.049												
Other	-(0)	-(0)	-(0)	-(0)	-(0)	-(0)	L												
Reason for refusing organ dona																			
	Doctor	Nurse	Medical	Technician	Administrative	Other													
			Secretary		staff member														
Due to their thought that it is not religiously allowable	-(0)	4(2.5)	1(1.9)	10(11.0)	4(13.8)	10(10.6)													
Due to the fact that bodily integrity will be disrupted.	1(10.0)	23(14.6)	7(13.0)	13(14.3)	5(17.2)	17(18.1)	X ² =0.000												
Due to familial reasons	1(10.0)	35(22.2)	8(14.8)	20(22.0)	-(0)	18(19.1)													
Due to the fact that they were not	-(0)	18(11.4)	3(5.6)	7(7.7)	1(3.4)	5(5.3)													
knowledgeable with where to apply																			
Due to their poor medical conditions	-(0)	26(16.5)	12(22.2)	22(24.2)	8(27.6)	16(17.0)													
Due to concerns about the misuse of their organs	2(20.0)	19(12.0)	7(13.0)	7(7.7)	6(20.7)	10(10.6)													
Due to the fact that they were not knowledgeable with the recipients of the organs.	-(0)	8(5.1)	3(5.6)	3(3.3)	-(0)	7(7.4)													
Due to the fact that they did not believe that organ transplant centers are capable of delivering satisfactory service	1(10.0)	9(5.7)	5(9.3)	2(2.2)	-(0)	1(1.1)													
Other	5(50.0)	16(10.1)	8(14.8)	7(7.7)	5(17.2)	10(10.6)													
Willingness of organ donation a			. /		· /	. /													
		(n/%)		No (n/%	(0)														
Doctor	13(76.5)		4(23.5)														
Nurse	150	(78.9)		40(21.1	1)	X ² =48.834													

GÖBEKI	ITEPE International Journal	Of Health Sciences	
Medical Secretary	58(85.3)	10(14.7)	p=0.000
Technician	63(60.0)	42(40.0)	
Administrative/finance	14(42.4)	19(57.6)	
staff members			
Other	53(50.0)	53(50.0)	
Family or immediate circle who av	vait organ transplantation		
Doctor	2(11.8)	15(88.2)	
Nurse	19(10.0)	171(90.0)	X ² =5.419
Medical Secretary	3(4.4)	65(95.6)	p=0.367
Technician	8(7.6)	97(92.4)	
Administrative/finance	5(15.2)	28(84.8)	
staff members			
Other	6(5.7)	100(94.3)	
Family or immediate circle who ha	ad previously donated organ		
Doctor	4(23.5)	13(76.5)	
Nurse	48(25.3)	142(74.7)	X ² =3.319
Medical Secretary	19(27.9)	49(72.1)	p=0.651
Technician	31(29.5)	74(70.5)	
Administrative/finance	6(18.2)	27(81.8)	
staff members			
Other	22(20.8)	84(79.29	
Accepting someone else's organ in		× ×	
Doctor	17(100)	-(0)	
Nurse	182(95.8)	8(4.2)	X ² =34.193
Medical Secretary	66(97.1)	2(2.9)	p=0.000
Technician	82(78.1)	23(21.9)	•
Administrative/finance	26(78.8)	7(21.2)	
staff members	- (· /	. ()	
Other	90(84.9)	16(15.1)	
Donating organs to non-Muslims	(,	- •(•••••)	
Doctor	17(100)	-(0)	
Nurse	165(86.8)	25(13.2)	
Medical Secretary	60(88.2)	8(11.8)	X ² =54.142
Technician	66(62.9)	39(37.1)	p=0.000
Administrative/finance staff member	. ,	15(45.5)	Р 0.000
Other	64(60.4)	42(39.6)	

X²= Chi-Square, significant at the level 0.05

3.4. Results Pertaining to the Responses of the Participants to Organ Donation According to Their Educational Level

It was found that 91.3% of the participants with bachelor's/ postgraduate degrees had knowledge about organ donation ($X^2=20.731$, **p=0.000**), 78.6% of those with associate's degree were willing to donate their organs after death ($X^2=24.987$ **p=0.000**), 94.6% of those with bachelor's/ postgraduate degrees stated that they would accept someone else's organs if they needed them, and 87.89% of those with associate's degrees stated that they would donate organs to non-Muslims (Table 5).

	Yes		No		Statistical
	n(%)		n(%)		Value
Having knowledge on organ donation					
Primary School	46(67.6)		22(32.4)		
High School	154(75.5)		50(24.5)		X ² =20.731
Associate Degree	78(79.6)		20(20.4)		p=0.000
Bachelor's/ postgraduate degree	136(91.3)		13(8.7)		
Thinking that organ donation is religiously	y appropriate	е			
Primary School	62(91.2)		6(8.8)		X ² =3.362
High School	178(87.3)		26(12.7)		p=0.339
Associate Degree	92(93.9)		6(6.1)		
Bachelor's/ postgraduate degree	134(89.9)		15(10.1)		
Status of donating organ					
Primary School	7(10.3)		61(89.7)		X ² =3.320
High School	30(14.7)		174(85.3)		p=0.345
Associate Degree	20(20.4)		78(79.6)		
Bachelor's/ postgraduate degree	23(15.4)		126(84.6)		
Reason for organ donation	Primar	High	Associate's	Bachelor's	
	School	School	Degree	Degree	
To save lives	2(28.6)	18(60.0)	9(45.0)	13(56.5)	
To help people	3(42.9)	1(3.3)	1(5.0)	2(8.7)	
Survival of organs in someone else	1(14.3)	3(10.0)	2(10.0)	3(13.0)	X ² =10.195
For the future	1(14.3)	8(26.7)	8(40.0)	5(21.7)	p=0.281
Other	-(0)	-(0)	-(0)	-(0)	
Reason for refusing organ donation	Primary	High	Associate	's Bachelor's	
	School	School	Degree	Degree	
Due to their thought that it is not religiously allowable	5(8.3)	18(10.3)	1(1.3)	5(4.0)	X ² =34.898
Due to the fact that bodily integrity will be disrupted.	11(18.3)	21(12.1)	16(21.1)	18(14.3)	p=0.070
Due to familial reasons	14(23.3)	32(18.4)1	11(14.5)	25(19.8)	

Table 5. Distribution of Responses by the Participants on Organ Donation According to Their Educational Level

GÖBEKLİTEPE II	nternationa	l Journal O	f Health Scien	ces	
Due to the fact that they were not	5(8.3)	5(8.6)	7(9.2)	7(5.6)	
knowledgeable with where to apply					
Due to their poor medical condition	15(25.0)	37(21.3)	10(13.2)	22(17.5)	
Due to concerns about the misuse of their organs	3(5.0)	19(10.9)	13(17.1)	16(12.7)	
Due to the fact that they were not knowledgeable with the recipients of the organs.	4(6.7)	10(5.7)	2(2.6)	5(4.0)	
Due to the fact that they did not believe that organ transplant centers are capable of delivering satisfactory service	-(0)	5(2.9)	4(5.3)	9(7.1)	
Other	3(5.0)	17(9.8)	12(15.8)	19(15.1)	
Willingness of organ donation after death					
	Yes		No		
Primary School	34(50.0)		34(50.0)		
High School	125(61.3)		79(38.7)		X ² =24.987
Associate Degree	77(78.6)		21(21.4)		p=0.000
Bachelor's/ postgraduate degree	115(77.2)		34(22.8)		
Family or immediate circle who await orga	n transplan	tation			
Primary School	6(8.8)		62(91.2)		
High School	16(7.8)		188(92.2)		X ² =0.193
Associate Degree	9(9.2)		89(90.8)		p=0.979
Bachelor's/ postgraduate degree	12(8.1)		137(91.9)		
Family or immediate circle who had previo	ously donate	d organ			
Primary School	11(16.2)		57(83.8)		
High School	45(22.1)		159(77.9)		X ² =8.189
Associate Degree	33(33.7)		65(66.3)		p=0.042
Bachelor's/ postgraduate degree	41(27.5)		108(72.5)		
Accepting someone else's organ in case of n	leed				
Primary School	57(83.8)		11(16.2)		
J ·					X ² =12.931
	173(84.8)		31(15.2)		A 12.751
High School	173(84.8) 92(93.9)		31(15.2) 6(6.1)		p=0.005
High School Associate Degree					
High School Associate Degree Bachelor's/ postgraduate degree	92(93.9)		6(6.1)		
High School Associate Degree Bachelor's/ postgraduate degree Donating organs to non-Muslims	92(93.9)		6(6.1)		
High School Associate Degree Bachelor's/ postgraduate degree Donating organs to non-Muslims Primary School	92(93.9) 141(94.6)		6(6.1) 8(5.4)		
High School Associate Degree Bachelor's/ postgraduate degree Donating organs to non-Muslims Primary School High School Associate Degree	92(93.9) 141(94.6) 45(66.2)		6(6.1) 8(5.4) 23(33.8)		p=0.005

4. DISCUSSION

The scarcity of cadaveric donors for organ transplantation is still a major obstacle even though organ donation is recognized as a crucial treatment option for patients on waiting lists (6). Hence, it is imperative to raise public awareness regarding organ transplantation and conduct studies on this topic in Turkey, where the number of patients waiting for organs is increasing day by day. As known, healthcare professionals play a crucial role in increasing organ donation through their contacts with patients and their relatives (1,13). When examining the related studies, it was determined that most of the hospital's administrative staff members had knowledge on organ donation and their primary source of this information was healthcare professionals. A study that analyzed university students' views on organ donation from mass media such as television, newspapers, and magazines (14,15). An international study revealed that university students held knowledge on organ donation, which they acquired from healthcare professionals (16). In this study, it was also determined that the majority of those working in different occupational groups had knowledge about organ donation and institutions dealing with organ donation. Likewise, a study conducted by Balc1 and Şahingöz with nurses indicated that most of nurses were knowledgeable with these issues (1).

Organ donation is a treatment method eligible for the Islam and does not has any religious objections (17). In this study, it was determined that the majority of the occupational groups stated that organ donation is appropriate in terms of religion, but 84.6% of them did not donate their organs. It has been reported in the literature that the majority of participants have positive attitudes, but they do not have organ donor cards (1,18, 19,20).

These results indicate that although individuals hold a positive attitude toward organ donation, they are unable to turn it into behavior, and this is a universal problem. Hence, it is believed that identifying and eliminating the potential causes to the inability to turn this positive attitude into behavior would be important in increasing organ donation rates.

This study revealed that most of the healthcare professionals were aware of organ donation and transplantation, but they believed this knowledge was insufficient; they did not want to donate their organs due to their poor health condition and familial reasons, they would accept someone else's organs when they need organ donation and they could donate organs to people of the opposite gender and non-Muslims. In a study conducted by Özkan and Yılmaz to assess knowledge and attitudes toward organ donation, they concluded that relatives of patients were unwilling to donate their organs due to distrust in doctors (18). In their study, Balc1 et al., found that nurses were undecided about whether or not to donate organs (1). Hence, it is thought that it may be beneficial to increase educational programs aimed at raising social awareness about organ donation.

When it is discovered in Turkey that organ transplantation is performed for pecuniary advantage, the activities of the relevant center are immediately suspended, and the judicial authorities are notified in accordance with the provisions of Law No. 5237. Furthermore, the center and its employees, whose activities are suspended as described in this article, are prohibited from engaging in organ transplantation activities (21). The participants in the study by Balci and Şahingöz stated that there was no pecuniary advantage (1). Similarly, 50% of the participants in this study stated that there is no pecuniary advantage in organ transplantation. However, the rate of the participants who responded with "I do not know" was nearly 50%. Furthermore, it was determined that 41.8% of the participants responded "No" to the question "Would you donate the organs of a relative who has been pronounced brain-dead?" and the reason for refusing to donate organs was "unwillingness to assume the responsibility of someone else". The literature yielded similar findings (22). Hence, it is thought that maintaining the prominence of organ donation and facilitate its discussion within family, as well as addressing this matter in visual and print media, television series, movies, and maybe most

importantly, in school curricula, as well as extending organ donation activities throughout the year rather than confining them to a single week, may yield more favorable outcomes.

In the study, it was found that the rates of organ donation, willingness to have their organs donated after death, acceptance of someone else's organs in case of need and organ donation to a non-Muslim were higher in women when compared to men, and the difference between the groups was statistically significant. In their study, Balci and Şahingöz found that this rate was higher in men¹. This result is thought to be associated with regional differences.

It was found that doctors and nurses had a higher rate of knowledge on organ donation than administrative staff members, and the difference between the groups was significant. In their study, Aytaş et al., found that 40% of nurses had sufficient level of knowledge about this issue (23). Similarly, Şıpkın et al (24), reported that 93.9% of nurses had sufficient level of knowledge, while Akış et al., found that 72% of nurses had sufficient level of knowledge (25). Given that the rate of organ donation would rise as the level of knowledge about organ transplantation elevates, those who now hold negative attitudes toward organ donation and transplantation and are indecisive about becoming organ donors may be more inclined to donate their organs if they are provided with training aiming at raising public awareness. In the literature, it has been also reported that educational level is a significant factor in determining the willingness to donate organs, and individuals with higher educational level held positive attitudes toward organ donation (1,22). This study revealed that especially those with bachelor's/postgraduate degree had higher rates of knowledge about organ in case of need, and would donate organs to non-Muslims.

Compared to the other occupational groups, medical secretaries had a higher rate of willingness to have their organs donated after death, while this rate was the lowest in administrative/finance staff members. Also, the rates of accepting someone else's organs in cases of need and donating organ to a non-Muslims were higher in doctors and nurses and lowest in administrative/finance staff members. In the literature it has been also reported that healthcare professionals working in the positions of doctors and nurses have a higher rate to donate their organs than other personnel (6,26,27).

There are some limitations that limit the level of evidence of the study. This study is limited to the staff working in the hospital where the study was conducted, and the results can only be generalized to this group. Future studies should be conducted with a larger population and multicenter trainings. It should be evaluated with a larger population to determine comprehensive results.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study revealed that those who had a bachelor's degree and postgraduate degree and were doctors, nurses and medical secretaries had knowledge on organ donation, donated organs, perceived organ donation as religiously appropriate and were willing to donate organs after death. Although a great majority of hospital staff deemed organ donation appropriate, they did not donate organs. Based on these results, it is recommended that awareness about organ donation among hospital staff be raised through periodic in-service trainings, they be directed to organ donation, and that healthcare professionals develop plans to promote organ donation in Turkey, where there is a high demand for organ transplantation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All authors have contributed significantly and that all authors are in agreement with the content of the manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Year: 2024 Vol:7 Issue: 17

All authors of this study declare that they have no conflicts of interest.Manuscript has been seen and approved by all authors.

FUNDING INFORMATION

No financial support was received for this study

HG: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal Analysis,Software, Data curation, Writing- Original draft preparation, Visualization, Investigation, Supervision, Validation, Writing-Reviewing and Editing, Project administration. **NO:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Data curation, Writing- Original draft preparation, Investigation, Supervision, Validation, Writing- Reviewing and Editing.

REFERENCES

- 1. Balcı, E., & Şahingöz, M. (2014). Perspective on organ donation for nurses. Cumhuriyet Medical Journal, 36(4), 503-511. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.7197/1305-0028.63831</u>.
- Bektaş, M. & Yıldırım G. (2020). Evaluating the relation of organ donation attitudes of nurses with their sense of mercy. Journal of Lokman Hekim, 11(2), 284-299. <u>https://doi.org/10.31020/mutftd.777609</u>.
- Sampaio, J.E., Fernandes, D.E., & Kirsztajn, G.M. (2020). Knowledge of medical students on organ donation. Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira, 66, 1264-1269. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9282.66.9.1264</u>.
- Allahverdi, T.D., Allahverdi, E., & Akkuş, Y. (2020). The knowledge of nursing students about organ donation and the effect of the relevant training on their knowledge. Transplantation Proceedings, 52(10), 2877-2882 Elsevier. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.transproceed.2020.04.1815</u>.
- Öden, T.N., & Korkmaz, F.D. (2021). Nurses' responsibilities in increasing organ transplantation rates from deceased donor: What can we do in the hospital area? Manisa Celal Bayar University Journal of Institute Of Health Science, 8(3), 558-565. <u>https://doi.org/10.34087/cbusbed.889801</u>.
- Akbulut, S., Demyati, K., Tamer, M, Unsal, S., Beyoglu, S., & Saritas, H. (2022). Knowledge levels, attitudes, and awareness of nurses toward organ donation. Northern Clinics of Istanbul, 9(4), 367-375. https://doi.org/10.14744/nci.2022.24478.
- 7. Ordin, Y.S., Bilik, Ö., Söylemez, B.A., Kankaya, E.A., Çelik, B., & Duğrul, E. (2018). Student attitudes towards organ donation: Nursing. Gümüşhane University Journal Of Health Sciences, 7(2), 28-36.
- İnal, G. (2023). Attitudes of healthcare professionals to organ donation. Journal of 5N1Quality, 1(1), 39-45. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7760105.
- Tsubaki, M., Tougo, S., Kobayashi, M., Arakawa, S., & Yoshida, K. (2020). Narrative review on attitudes toward organ donation of undergraduate nurse students. Japan Journal of Nursing Science, 17(1), e12291. https://doi.org/10.1111/jjns.12291.
- Kurt, B., Öztaş, D., İlter, H., Akbaba, M., Ozan, T., & Güneş, E. (2018). Knowledge and behavior of organic donation among primary health care workers in Konya Province. Sakarya Med Journal, 8(3), 497-504. <u>https://doi.org/10.31832/smj.419060</u>.
- 11. Polat, H.T., Turan, G.B., & Mankan, T. (2020). Investigation of knowledge and attitudes of nursing students about organ donation. Journal of General Health Sciences, 2(1), 24-33.
- Ertaş, H., & Kıraç, F.Ç. (2018). Purpose of Study: The purpose of this study is to measure the level of knowledge, opinions and beliefs of health workers regarding organ donation and transplantation. 2nd International Social and Educational Sciences Symposium – 22-24 October – Konya, TURKEY.
- Güler, S., Yava, A., Doğan, S.K., & Ketenciler, A.P. (2017). Knowledge and opinions of university students about the organ donations. Adiyaman University Journal of Health Sciences, 3(1), 418-433. <u>https://doi.org/10.30569/adiyamansaglik.428906</u>.
- 14. Kavurmacı, M., Karabulut, N., & Koç, A. (2014). The knowledge and views of university students about organ donation. Journal of Education and Research in Nursing, 11(2), 15-21.
- Bölükbaş, N., Eyüpoğlu, A., & Kurt, P. (2004). The Ideas of university students about organ donation. OMU Journal of Medicine, 21(2), 73-77.
- Reynolds-Tylus, T., Potter, H.A. (2020). An examination of nursing students' knowledge about organ donation. Journal of Nursing Education, 59(7), 388-391. <u>https://doi.org/10.3928/01484834-20200617-06</u>.

- Kaymak, R., & Alkoy, S. (2019). Knowledge attitudes and behaviors for organ donation of religious officers in Bolu Provincial Center, 2017. Abant Medical Journal, 8(3), 119-127. <u>https://doi.org/10.5505/abantmedj.2019.22599</u>.
- 18. Özkan, S., & Yılmaz, E. (2009). Knowledge and attitudes of patients' relatives towards organ donation. Journal of Social Policy Studies, 17(17), 18-29.
- Çam, H.H., Güleç, H.Y., Öztürk, E., & Karasu, F. (2022). Factors influencing attitudes towards organ donation among undergraduate health sciences students. Mersin University Journal of Health Sciences, 15(2), 156-165. <u>https://doi.org/10.26559/mersinsbd.963228</u>.
- Gürler, H., & Hançer, A.T. (2020). The Attitude of Individuals Admitted a Family Center About Organ Donation. Turkiye Klinikleri J Med Ethics, 28(1), 90-8. DOI: 10.5336/mdethic.2019-71012.
- 21. https://www.resmigazete.gov.tr/eskiler/2022/12/20221209-3.htm. Access Date: 01.04.2023.
- Yazar, M.A., & Açıkgöz, M.B. (2016). Knowledge levels and attitudes of people living in the city centre of Nevşehir on organ donation and transplantation. Turk J Anaesthesiol Reanim, 44(5), 250-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.5152%2FTJAR.2016.87094</u>.
- Aytaş, Ö., Kartalcı, Ş., & Ünal, S. (2011). Perspectives on organ donation in the context of sociodemographic data and levels of burn out in a group of nurse. Journal of Inonu University Faculty of Medicine, 18(1), 26-32.
- Şıpkın, S., Şen, B., Akan, S., & Tuna Malak, A. (2010). Organ Donation and Transplantation in Onsekiz Mart Faculty of Medicine, Fine Arts and Theology: Academic Staff's Awareness and Opinions. Journal of ADU Faculty of Medicine, 11(1), 19-25.
- Akış, M., Katırcı, E., Uludağ, H.Y., Küçükkılıç, B., Gürbüz, T., Türker, Y., Kayacan, H., Öngel, K., & Gül, H. (2008). Knowledge and attitude of Suleyman Demirel Unýversity staff about organ-tissue donation and transplantation. Journal of SDU Faculty of Medicine, 15(4), 28-33.
- Hu, D., & Huang, H. (2015). Knowledge, attitudes, and willingness toward organ donation among health professionals in China. Transplantation, 99(7), 1379-1385. DOI: 10.1097/TP.00000000000798.
- Kara, S., Salman, Z., & Öngel K. (2012). Süleyman Demirel University Faculty of Medicine health personnel's view on organ donation. Journal of Ankara Health Services, 11(1), 33-39. <u>https://doi.org/10.1501/Ashd 0000000074</u>.