

## COVID-19 GEÇİREN HEMŞİRELER İLE SAĞLIKLI MESLEKTAŞLARININ YORGUNLUK VE UYKU KALİTESİNİN KARŞILAŞTIRILMASI

**Selva Ezgi AŞKAR**

Dr. Lecturer, Mustafa Kemal University Hospital, Department of Education,  
[selvaezgiaskar@gmail.com](mailto:selvaezgiaskar@gmail.com), Hatay/TURKEY, 0000-0001-6509-6988

**Özlem OVAYOLU**

Prof. Dr. Gaziantep University, Faculty of Health Science, Department of Nursing,  
[drovayolu@gmail.com](mailto:drovayolu@gmail.com), Gaziantep/TURKEY, 0000-0002-7335-4032

### Öz

Bu çalışmanın amacı COVID-19 geçiren hemşireler ile sağlıklı meslektaşlarının yorgunluk ve uyku kalitesi açısından karşılaştırılmasıdır. Araştırma bir üniversite hastanesinde kesitsel yaklaşım kullanılarak yürütüldü. Veriler, 2 Eylül- 29 Ekim 2021 tarihleri arasında, Google Forms üzerinden “Sosyo-demografik Form”, “Piper Yorgunluk Ölçeği (PFS)” ve “Pittsburgh Uyku Kalitesi İndeksi (PSQI)” kullanılarak toplandı. Çalışmaya toplam 224 hemşire katıldı. COVID-19 tanısı alan katılımcıların %76,8’inin kadın, %57,3’ünün 18-29 yaş aralığında olduğu ve %82,9’unun herhangi bir kronik hastalığı olmadığı tespit edildi. Katılımcıların %36,6’sına COVID-19 tanısı konulmuş olduğu, bu tanılarının %78’inin Temmuz-Aralık 2020 arasında konulduğu saptandı. COVID-19 tanısı alan hemşirelerin toplam PFS ve PSQI puan ortalamaları sırasıyla  $6,2\pm2,2$  ve  $10,1\pm3,2$  idi. COVID-19 tanısı almayan hemşirelerin PFS toplam puan ortalaması  $5,6\pm2,4$  ve PSQI toplam puan ortalaması  $9,5\pm3,3$  idi. Buna göre iki grup arasında anlamlı bir fark yoktu ( $p>0,05$ ). Sonuç olarak hemşirelerin orta düzeyde yorgunluğa ve kötü uyku kalitesine sahip olduğu belirlenmiştir. Ancak COVID-19'a sahip olmak hemşirelerin yorgunluk düzeyini ve uyku kalitesini etkilememiştir.

**Anahtar Kelimeler:** COVID-19, Hemşirelik, Uyku kalitesi, Yorgunluk.

## COMPARING FATIGUE AND SLEEP QUALITY OF NURSES WITH COVID-19 AND THEIR HEALTHY COUNTERPARTS

### Abstract

The study aims to compare the fatigue and sleep quality of nurses who had COVID-19 and their healthy counterparts. A cross-sectional design was employed at a university hospital. Data were collected between September 2 and October 29, 2021, using the “Socio-demographic Form”, “Piper Fatigue Scale (PFS)”, “Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI)” via Google Forms. A total of 224 nurses took part in the study. Among the participants who were diagnosed with COVID-19, 76.8% were female, 57.3% were aged between 18 and 29 years, and 82.9% reported no chronic diseases. Overall, 36.6% of participants had been diagnosed with COVID-19, with 78% of these diagnoses occurring between July and December 2020. Total PFS and PSQI mean scores of the nurses who were diagnosed with COVID-19 were  $6.2\pm2.2$  and  $10.1\pm3.2$ . Nurses who were not diagnosed with COVID-19 had a PFS total mean score of  $5.6\pm2.4$  and a PSQI total mean score of  $9.5\pm3.3$ . Accordingly, no significant difference was found between the two groups ( $p>0.05$ ). In conclusion, the results showed that the nurses experienced moderate fatigue and poor sleep quality. However, having COVID-19 did not affect the nurses' fatigue level and sleep quality.

**Keywords:** COVID-19, Fatigue, Nursing, Sleep quality.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Fatigue and sleep related disorders are frequent problems among nurses. These problems are related to many factors, such as the defining characteristics of nurses, financial difficulties, and insufficient support from managers. Therefore, fatigue and sleep disorders are problems that negatively affect the quality of life of nurses (1-3). In particular, nurses employed in shift rotations often experience poor sleep quality (4, 5). Shift-working nurses also experience high levels of fatigue (5). Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic has triggered a rise in sleep problems and fatigue, particularly among frontline healthcare professionals (6, 7).

As it is known, continuous exposure to COVID-19 patients increases the risk of infection among healthcare professionals. This situation is among the factors that trigger sleep problems and fatigue in healthcare professionals. Especially busy and long working hours, long-term contact with the patient, use of inappropriate personal protective equipment, and insufficient hand hygiene increase the risk of infection (8, 9). Moreover, a meta-analysis study reported that nurses were the healthcare professionals most frequently affected by the virus (10).

COVID-19 is known to induce a wide spectrum of symptoms in the human body. Nevertheless, the most commonly reported symptoms among adults diagnosed with COVID-19 include dyspnea, fatigue, myalgia/arthritis, fever, cough, headache, nausea/vomiting, depression, and sleep disturbances (11-15). These symptoms continue even after the patient recovers (12, 14, 15). However, there is no relationship between the seriousness of acute phase of COVID-19 disease and the presence of symptoms associated with the disease (15).

The extent to which nurses who have survived COVID-19 are affected by the disease's known long-term symptoms has yet to be fully clarified. It is believed that existing problems such as fatigue and sleep disturbances may worsen, particularly among nurses working under challenging conditions and intense workloads. In this context, the study aims to compare nurses who have had COVID-19 with their healthy counterparts regarding fatigue and sleep quality. In this way, it is to contribute to the literature on the effects of COVID-19 among nurses.

### Research hypotheses:

H1. The fatigue levels of the nurses who have had COVID-19 will be higher than those of their healthy counterparts.

H2. The sleep quality of the nurses who have had COVID-19 will be poorer than those of their healthy counterparts.

## 2. METHOD

The reporting of this study followed the "Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology-STROBE" guidelines for cross-sectional research (Appendix1).

### 2.1. Design and participants

The study was conducted using a cross-sectional design. The population of the study consisted of 318 nurses who were on active duty (not on vacation and maternity leave) in a university hospital. The study aimed to include the whole population, with no sampling procedure applied. All nurses in the hospital were informed about the study, and those who did not volunteer to participate were excluded. An online survey was conducted using Google Forms from September 2 to October 29, 2021. Researchers informed the participants about the data collection through an online application, and a link to the consent and data collection forms was subsequently shared with them. The reminders were set up multiple times between the specified dates. 224 nurses participated in the study and the participation rate was found to be 70.4%.

## 2.2. Data collection

Data were gathered through a “Socio-demographic Form”, the “Piper Fatigue Scale (PFS)”, and the “Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI)”.

*Socio-demographic Form:* The survey was developed by the researchers in accordance with relevant studies (13, 16). The survey questions covered the socio-demographic characteristics of the participants, such as age, gender, and employment status. Additionally, the questions addressed factors related to the COVID-19 pandemic, including COVID-19 infection status, COVID-19-related symptoms, etc.

*Piper Fatigue Scale-PFS:* The scale comprises of 22 items, each rated on a 0 to 10 Visual Analog Scale-VAS, and is designed to assess the patient's subjective perception of fatigue across four subcomponents. These subcomponents are 'behavioral-severity', 'affective meaning', 'sensory', and 'cognitive-mood'. Subcomponent scores are calculated by summing the item scores within each subscale and then dividing by the number of items in that subscale. The overall fatigue score is derived by summing all item scores and dividing by the total number of items.

Based on the mean score, fatigue levels are categorized as follows: a score of 0 indicates 'no fatigue', 1–3 indicates 'mild fatigue', 4–6 indicates 'moderate fatigue', and 7–10 indicates 'severe fatigue' (17, 18). The original Cronbach's alpha of the tool was reported as .872 (17), whereas in the present study, it was found to be .967, indicating excellent internal consistency.

*Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index-PSQI:* The PSQI is a subjective instrument consisting of 24 items and comprising 7 components. The PSQI is used to evaluate individuals' sleep quality as well as the type and severity of sleep problems experienced over the past month.

Each component is rated on a scale from 0 (“no difficulty”) to 3 (“severe difficulty”). The global PSQI score, ranging from 0 to 21, is calculated by summing the scores of all 7 components. A global score  $\leq 5$  indicates 'good sleep quality', whereas a score  $> 5$  reflects 'poor sleep quality' (19, 20).

The original version of the instrument demonstrated a Cronbach's alpha of .80, reflecting good internal consistency. In the current study, the overall Cronbach's alpha was calculated as .791.

## 2.3. Ethical Considerations

The study received ethical approval from the Ministry of Health and the Non-Invasive Research Ethics Committee (Decision No.: 26/08/2021-08). The necessary permission was obtained from the authors who translated and adapted the scales into the native language. Before answering the questions, participants were asked to read an informational text stating that anonymity and confidentiality would be maintained, data security ensured, and participation was voluntary with the option to withdraw at any time. They were then required to click a checkbox indicating their consent to participate in the study.

## 2.4. Data Analysis

After scanning the data set, no error was found. Therefore, the data of 224 nurses were analyzed. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample were presented within the scope of descriptive analyses by calculating means-M, frequencies-n, standard deviations-SD, and percentages-%. The 'chi-square' test was applied, and categorical variables were compared accordingly. Normality was tested via the Shapiro–Wilk test, and variables that do not meet normality assumptions, the Mann–Whitney U and Kruskal–Wallis H tests were employed for intergroup comparisons. SPSS (version 22) was used to perform the statistical analyses. Statistical significance was defined as  $p < 0.05$ .

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1. Socio-demographic Characteristics of the Nurses

Table 1 presents a comparison of the participants' socio-demographic characteristics. Among the participants who diagnosed with COVID-19, 76.8% were female, and 57.3% were aged between 18 and 29 years. The majority of participants diagnosed with COVID-19, 82.9%, reported no chronic diseases and 92.7% had received the COVID-19 vaccine. Regarding COVID-19 diagnosis, it was found that only 82 out of 224 nurses (36.6%) had been diagnosed with the disease. No significant differences were found between nurses who had COVID-19 and those who were healthy in terms of gender, age, education, marital status, smoking habits, alcohol habits, chronic diseases status, regular medication use, and COVID-19 vaccination status ( $p>0.05$ ).

**Table 1. Comparison of Nurses' Socio-Demographic Characteristics (n=224)**

Characteristics	COVID-19 (+) n(%)	COVID-19 (-) n(%)	$\chi^2$	p
<b>Gender</b>				
Female	63(76.8)	101(71.1)	.862	0.353
Male	19(23.2)	41(28.9)		
<b>Age</b>				
18-29	47(57.3)	66(46.5)	2.928	0.231
30-39	25(30.5)	49(34.5)		
40 and over	10(12.2)	27(19)		
<b>Education</b>				
High school	8(9.8)	16(11.3)	.260	0.878
Bachelor's degree	67(81.7)	112(78.9)		
Postgraduate	7(8.5)	14(9.8)		
<b>Marital status</b>				
Married	52(63.4)	89(62.7)	.012	0.912
Single	30(36.6)	53(37.3)		
<b>Smoking habit</b>				
Yes	25(30.5)	44(31.0)	.006	0.938
No/I quit	57(69.5)	98(69.0)		
<b>Alcohol habit</b>				
Yes	14(17.1)	25(17.6)	.010	0.919
No/I quit	68(82.9)	117(82.4)		
<b>Chronic disease</b>				
Yes	14(17.1)	31(21.8)	.733	0.92
No	68(82.9)	111(78.2)		
<b>Regular medication</b>				
Yes	17(20.7)	28(19.7)	.033	0.855
No	65(79.3)	114(80.3)		
<b>COVID-19 vaccine</b>				
Yes	76(92.7)	124(87.3)	1.561	0.212
No	6(7.3)	18(12.7)		
<b>Total</b>	82(36.6)	142(63.4)		

n=Frequency, %=Percent,  $\chi^2$ = Chi square Test. \* $p<0.05$

#### 3.2. Comparison of Nurses' Working Conditions

When comparing participants' working conditions, no significant differences were detected between the two groups regarding working years and the units in which they were employed ( $p>0.05$ ). In addition, nurses' working type differed significantly between the two groups ( $p<0.05$ ) (Table 2).

**Table 2. Comparison of Nurses' Working Conditions (n=224)**

Characteristics	COVID-19 (+) n(%)	COVID-19 (-) n(%)	x <sup>2</sup>	p
<b>Working years</b>				
1-5	35(42.7)	44(31)	3.125	0.210
6-9	22(26.8)	45(31.7)		
10 and above	25(30.5)	53(37.3)		
<b>Working Unit</b>				
Ward	42(51.2)	62(43.7)	2.984	0.394
Intensive care	29(35.4)	48(33.8)		
Outpatient clinic	9(11)	25(17.6)		
Operating room	2(2.4)	7(4.9)		
<b>Working Type</b>				
Daytime only	22(26.8)	64(45.1)	8.581	<b>0.014*</b>
In Shifts	54(65.9)	74(52.1)		
Only night	6(7.3)	4(2.8)		
<b>Total</b>	82(36.6)	142(63.4)		

n=Frequency, %=Percent, x<sup>2</sup>= Chi square Test. \*p<0.05

### 3.3. Comparison of nurses' fatigue total and subscale mean scores, and sleep quality

Table 3 shows fatigue total mean scores, subscale mean scores, and sleep quality of the nurses. It was identified that the PFS total mean score of the nurses who had COVID-19 was  $6.2 \pm 2.2$ , and their PSQI total mean score was  $10.1 \pm 3.2$ . The nurses who did not have COVID-19 had a mean PFS total score of  $5.6 \pm 2.4$  and a mean PSQI total score of  $9.5 \pm 3.3$ . According to these results, fatigue level was “moderate” and sleep quality was “poor” in both groups. However, there was no statistically significant difference between the scores of groups ( $p>0.05$ ).

**Table 3. Comparison of Nurses' Fatigue Total and Subscale Mean Scores, and Sleep Quality (N=224)**

Characteristics	COVID-19 (+) (M±SD)	COVID-19 (-) (M±SD)	U	p
<b>Total PFS</b>	6.2±2.2	5.6±2.4	5085.000	0.115
<b>PFS Subscales</b>				
Behavioral/Severity	5.5± 2.5	5.0± 2.6	5281.000	0.247
Affective meaning	6.8± 2.5	6.3± 2.7	5252.500	0.222
Sensory	6.4± 2.3	5.7± 2.6	4911.000	0.051
Cognitive/Mood	6.1± 2.3	5.5± 2.5	5034.500	0.092
<b>PSQI</b>	10.1±3.2	9.5±3.3	5198.000	0.180
<b>Total</b>	82(36.6)	142(63.4)		

n=Frequency, %=Percent, M=Mean, SD=Standard deviation, U=Mann-Whitney U Test, PFS=Piper Fatigue Scale, PSQI=Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. \*p<0.05

### 3.4. The correlation between COVID-19 characteristics and fatigue and sleep quality in nurses diagnosed with COVID-19

The majority of nurses diagnosed with COVID-19 (78%) contracted the disease between July and December 2020, with only one nurse requiring hospitalization. The most commonly reported symptoms among these nurses included fatigue (69.5%), myalgia/arthritis (68.3%), loss of taste and smell (68.3%), fever (43.9%), cough (36.6%), dyspnea (25.6%), and other symptoms (8.5%). Additionally, 29.1% of the nurses reported infecting their relatives with the virus, 68.3% adhered to the recommended treatment, and the majority (86%) did not experience any side effects.

Table 4 shows the correlation between their COVID-19 characteristics, fatigue and sleep quality. It was found that 53 (64.6%) of the nurses had fatigue and 37 (45.1%) suffered from sleep problems before they were diagnosed with COVID-19. After the nurses were diagnosed with COVID-

19, their fatigue level increased and their sleep quality was worse ( $p<0.05$ ). Also, sleep quality of the nurses who experienced sleep problems before the diagnosis of COVID-19 was worse ( $p<0.05$ ) (Table 4).

**Table 4. The Correlation Between COVID-19 Characteristics and Fatigue and Sleep Quality in Nurses Diagnosed With COVID-19 (n=82)**

Characteristics	n(%)	PFS		PSQI	
		(M±SD)	p	(M±SD)	p
<b>Fatigue before the diagnosis of COVID-19</b>					
Yes	53(64.6)	6.2±2.3	0.771	10.0±3.3	0.930
No	29(35.4)	6.1±2.1		10.3±3.1	
<b>Fatigue after the diagnosis of COVID-19</b>					
Increased	40(75.5)	6.3±2.3	0.301	10.5±3.1	<b>0.045*</b>
Decreased	13(24.5)	5.7±2.3		8.3±3.4	
<b>Sleeping problem before the diagnosis of COVID-19</b>					
Yes	37(45.1)	6.1±2.2	0.720	11.1±3.1	<b>0.009*</b>
No	45(54.9)	6.2±2.2		9.2±3.1	
<b>Sleeping problem after the diagnosis of COVID-19</b>					
Increased	17(45.9)	6.0±2.6	0.405	11.8±3.4	0.359
Decreased	18(48.6)	6.0±1.8		10.5±3.0	
Did not change	2(5.5)	8.0±1.6		10.5±2.1	

PFS=Piper Fatigue Scale, PSQI=Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, n=Frequency, %=Percent, M=Mean, SD=Standard deviation. \* $p<0.05$

## 5. DISCUSSION

Results of the current study revealed that only 36.6% of the nurses were diagnosed with COVID-19. However, several studies in the literature have reported contrasting results. In a systematic review examining the prevalence of COVID-19 among healthcare workers—approximately half of whom were nurses—the overall prevalence was reported as 10% (10). Another systematic review and meta-analysis reported a prevalence of 51.7% (9), while a cross-sectional study found a prevalence of 24% (21). Other related studies have indicated that the prevalence rate of COVID-19 in nurses was 10.9% (22) and 4.1% (23). When the findings of the current study are compared with the data reported in previous studies, it is seen that the rate of nurses with COVID-19 is quite high. It is thought that this difference may be associated with the data collection times of the studies. The reason is that as the pandemic deepens, the number of nurses with COVID-19 is increasing. However, it is stated that the rate of being infected in nurses is lower than the rate of being infected in the general population (9). The morbidity and mortality rates of COVID-19 in healthcare workers were found to be lower, as well. This can be attributed to healthcare workers being generally younger and having fewer comorbid conditions (8).

The literature predominantly focuses on nurses who have provided direct care to COVID-19 patients (6, 22, 24). A study reported that nurses involved in the care of COVID-19 patients experienced fatigue (6) and had poor sleep quality (6, 22, 24). The pandemic also caused nurses to experience moderate fatigue and this pandemic fatigue adversely affected their sleep quality (25). In the general population, fatigue increase and sleep quality became poor in 2020 compared to 2018 (26). The present study found no significant differences between the two groups regarding fatigue and sleep quality. Both groups had moderate fatigue and poor sleep quality. However, sleep quality was poorer among nurses whose fatigue levels increased following COVID-19 infection. In contrast,



another study (27) demonstrated that fatigue severity did not significantly differ between healthcare workers with good and poor sleep quality.

It was observed that nurses working in shifts had a higher incidence of COVID-19 diagnosis compared to those working exclusively only during the day or only at night. In addition, only one nurse was treated as an inpatient. In the present study, only one of the participants was treated at the hospital, while the other participants had the disease as an outpatient. This finding is in agreement with the results reported by Al Maskari, Al Blushi (23). In addition, findings from this study indicated that most nurses had a "mild/moderate" disease but they were symptomatic. This finding supports that the symptom burden caused by COVID-19 is not dependent on mild or severe illness (15, 28). The symptoms caused by the disease can be seen alone or together and can be short or long-term (16). Bell, Catalfamo (29), in their study with 303 individuals who had COVID-19 but were not treated at the hospital, found that 50% of the participants experienced three or more symptoms and 25% experienced seven or more symptoms during 60-day or longer follow-ups. In a meta-analysis study, a total of 55 long-term effects related to COVID-19 were determined (30). In the present study, the symptoms stated by the nurses also varied. Although many of these symptoms were associated with COVID-19, they were not specific to the disease.

Studies examining the long-lasting effects of COVID-19 have frequently reported fatigue as a prevalent symptom (13, 16, 28-31). In addition, the studies on the general population have reported that 37.5% of individuals with mild to moderate COVID-19 experienced fatigue (29). Kayaaslan, Eser (13) determined that fatigue persisted four to five months after diagnosis in inpatients or outpatients. In another study revealing the long-term effects/symptoms of COVID-19, fatigue ranked first with an incidence of 58% (30). A study on healthcare professionals found that 39% of participants experienced moderate to severe fatigue. (21). However, fatigue decreased from the fourth month in a study conducted on the non-hospitalized population (28). Despite conflicting findings in the literature, most nurses in the current study continued to experience fatigue nearly one year after contracting the disease. The fatigue level of the nurses was  $6.2 \pm 2.2$  in those who had COVID-19 and  $5.6 \pm 2.4$  in their healthy counterparts, respectively. Although no significant difference was detected between the groups, fatigue levels were higher in nurses who had contracted COVID-19. The results of the present study support the notion that fatigue may become a serious, prolonged complication following COVID-19, adversely affecting quality of life.

The COVID-19 pandemic has led to sleep disturbances across all segments of society. It has been emphasized that patients experiencing long-term symptoms related to COVID-19 exhibit significantly higher levels of insomnia compared to individuals who have never contracted the virus (14). In a cohort study involving the general population, the participants' mean total PSQI score increased from  $5.5 \pm 0.1$  in 2018 to  $6.0 \pm 0.1$  in 2020. The difference was also significant (26). When the nurses and the general population are compared, it is seen that the nurses will suffer from more sleep disorders due to the stressful nature of their work environment and intense work (24). However, it was found that prior to the emergence of COVID-19 patients in Turkey, close to half of the nurses (49.2%) exhibited poor sleep quality, as indicated by a PSQI total score of 5.0 or higher (32). Among healthcare professionals who were in contact with COVID-19 patients throughout the pandemic, nurses demonstrated worse sleep quality than physicians and allied health professionals, with a mean total PSQI score of  $6.1 \pm 4.0$  (24). In contrast, the study by Akıncı and Başar (27) reported that the quality of sleep was similar among nurses, physicians, and allied health staff. The same study reported no significant association between the group with poor sleep quality and the group with good sleep quality in terms of having had COVID-19 (27). The results of the current study corroborate these data. Nurses who had COVID-19 had a mean total PSQI score of  $10.1 \pm 3.2$ , whereas those who did not contract COVID-19 had a score of  $9.5 \pm 3.3$ . Although the groups did not differ significantly in statistical terms, suggesting that a COVID-19 diagnosis may not have a direct effect, the present study

found that nurses who had COVID-19 exhibited relatively higher PSQI scores. However, the literature reports that sleep disorders are one of the neurological effects of COVID-19 (13, 16). Also sleep disorders persist for more than four months in individuals with COVID-19 (13). In their study, Gaber, Ashish (21) identified sleep disturbance as a prevalent long-term issue among healthcare workers infected with COVID-19, with 44% of participants experiencing sleep disorders (21).

## 5. CONCLUSION

No correlation was found between nurses who had COVID-19 and their healthy counterparts regarding either fatigue or sleep quality. Therefore, it is recommended that nurse managers implement support programs and optimize working conditions to allow nurses adequate time for fatigue and sleep quality assessment. Such measures may help reduce fatigue levels before and during pandemics and improve poor sleep quality among nursing staff.

## Limitations

This study adopted a cross-sectional design and was conducted in the southern region of a single country, relying primarily on self-reported measures. Consequently, the findings may have limited generalizability to other populations.

## Ethics Statement

### **“COMPARING FATIGUE AND SLEEP QUALITY OF NURSES WITH COVID-19 AND THEIR HEALTHY COUNTERPARTS” Research and Ethics Statement Information for the Article with Title**

This study has been prepared in accordance with the values of “Research and Publication Ethics” and has been checked by a plagiarism control programme. All responsibility for the study lies with the author(s).

<b>Information</b>	-
<b>Author Conflict of Interest Statement</b>	There is no conflict of interest between the authors.
<b>Financial Support</b>	No
<b>Author Contribution Statement</b>	The authors contributed equally to this article.
<b>Thanks</b>	We would like to thank the participants who took part in the study.
<b>Ethics Committee Approval Certificate</b>	Ethics Committee approval has been obtained.
<b>Scale Permit</b>	Scale permission has been obtained.

## 6. REFERENCES

1. Çetinel T, Özvurmaz S. Hemşirelerde Uyku Kalitesi Ve İlişkili Faktörler. NWSA Academic Journals. 2018;13(4):80-9.
2. Knupp AM, Patterson ES, Ford JL, Zurmehly J, Patrick T. Associations Among Nurse Fatigue, Individual Nurse Factors, and Aspects of the Nursing Practice Environment. The Journal of nursing administration. 2018;48(12):642-8.
3. Pirinççi E, Yaraşır E, Özbey S, Özdemir S, Demir A, Deveci SE, et al. Türkiye'nin Doğusunda Bir Devlet Hastanesinde Çalışan Hemşirelerin Uyku Kalitesinin İncelenmesi. 2021.
4. Asi Karakaş S, Gönültaş N, Okanlı A. Vardiyalı Çalışan Hemşirelerde Uyku Kalitesi. ERÜ Sağlık Bilimleri Fakültesi Dergisi. 2017;4:17-26.



5. Karahan A, Abbasoğlu A, Uğurlu Z, Avcı Işık S, Kılıç G, Özhan Elbaş N. Determination of sleep quality, fatigue, and concentration in nurses according to their shifts and chronotype\*. *Journal of Psychiatric Nursing*. 2020;11(2):98-105.
6. Sagherian K, Steege LM, Cobb SJ, Cho H. Insomnia, fatigue and psychosocial well-being during COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional survey of hospital nursing staff in the United States. *Journal of clinical nursing*. 2020.
7. Zou X, Liu S, Li J, Chen W, Ye J, Yang Y, et al. Factors Associated With Healthcare Workers' Insomnia Symptoms and Fatigue in the Fight Against COVID-19, and the Role of Organizational Support. *Front Psychiatry*. 2021;12:652717-.
8. Chou R, Dana T, Buckley DI, Selph S, Fu R, Totten AM. Epidemiology of and Risk Factors for Coronavirus Infection in Health Care Workers: A Living Rapid Review. *Ann Intern Med*. 2020;173(2):120-36.
9. Gholami M, Fawad I, Shadan S, Rowaiee R, Ghanem H, Hassan Khamis A, et al. COVID-19 and healthcare workers: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2021;104:335-46.
10. Gomez-Ochoa SA, Franco OH, Rojas LZ, Raguindin PF, Roa-Diaz ZM, Wyssmann BM, et al. COVID-19 in Health-Care Workers: A Living Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Prevalence, Risk Factors, Clinical Characteristics, and Outcomes. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2021;190(1):161-75.
11. Adil MT, Rahman R, Whitelaw D, Jain V, Al-Taani O, Rashid F, et al. SARS-CoV-2 and the pandemic of COVID-19. *Postgraduate medical journal*. 2021;97(1144):110-6.
12. Cha C, Baek G. Symptoms and management of long COVID: A scoping review. *Journal of clinical nursing*. 2021.
13. Kayaaslan B, Eser F, Kalem AK, Kaya G, Kaplan B, Kacar D, et al. Post-COVID syndrome: A single-center questionnaire study on 1007 participants recovered from COVID-19. *Journal of medical virology*. 2021;93(12):6566-74.
14. Orrù G, Bertelloni D, Diolaiuti F, Mucci F, Di Giuseppe M, Biella M, et al. Long-COVID Syndrome? A Study on the Persistence of Neurological, Psychological and Physiological Symptoms. *Healthcare (Basel)*. 2021;9(5):575.
15. Sykes DL, Holdsworth L, Jawad N, Gunasekera P, Morice AH, Crooks MG. Post-COVID-19 Symptom Burden: What is Long-COVID and How Should We Manage It? *Lung*. 2021;199(2):113-9.
16. Shah W, Hillman T, Playford ED, Hishmeh L. Managing the long term effects of covid-19: summary of NICE, SIGN, and RCGP rapid guideline. *Bmj*. 2021;372:n136.
17. Can G, Durna Z, Aydinler A. Assessment of fatigue in and care needs of Turkish women with breast cancer. *Cancer nursing*. 2004;27(2):153-61.
18. Piper BF, Dibble SL, Dodd MJ, Weiss MC, Slaughter RE, Paul SM. The revised Piper Fatigue Scale: psychometric evaluation in women with breast cancer. *Oncology nursing forum*. 1998;25(4):677-84.
19. Ağargün MY, Kara H, Anlar O. Pittsburgh uyku kalitesi indeksinin geçerliği ve güvenilirliği. *Türk Psikiyatri Dergisi*. 1996;7(2):107-15.
20. Buysse DJ, Reynolds III CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry research*. 1989;28(2):193-213.
21. Gaber TAZK, Ashish A, Unsworth A. Persistent post-covid symptoms in healthcare workers. *Occup Med (Lond)*. 2021;71(3):144-6.
22. Bilgiç Ş, Çelikkalp Ü, Mısırlı C. Stress level and sleep quality of nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Work*. 2021;70:1021-9.
23. Al Maskari Z, Al Blushi A, Khamis F, Al Tai A, Al Salmi I, Al Harthi H, et al. Characteristics of healthcare workers infected with COVID-19: A cross-sectional observational study. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2021;102:32-6.
24. Korkmaz S, Kazgan A, Çekiç S, Tartar AS, Balci HN, Atmaca M. The anxiety levels, quality of sleep and life and problem-solving skills in healthcare workers employed in COVID-19 services. *J Clin Neurosci*. 2020;80:131-6.
25. Labrague LJ. Pandemic fatigue and clinical nurses' mental health, sleep quality and job contentment during the covid-19 pandemic: The mediating role of resilience. *Journal of Nursing Management*. 2021;29(7):1992-2001.
26. Morin CM, Vézina-Im L-A, Ivers H, Micoulaud-Franchi J-A, Philip P, Lamy M, et al. Prevalent, incident, and persistent insomnia in a population-based cohort tested before (2018) and during the first-wave of COVID-19 pandemic (2020). *Sleep*. 2022;45(1):zsab258.

27. Akıncı T, Başar HM. Assessment of Sleep Quality in Healthcare Workers as Part of the COVID-19 Outbreak. COVID-19 Salgını Kapsamında Sağlık Çalışanlarında Uyku Kalitesinin Değerlendirilmesi. 2021;27(2):176-86.
28. Stavem K, Ghanima W, Olsen MK, Gilboe HM, Einvik G. Prevalence and Determinants of Fatigue after COVID-19 in Non-Hospitalized Subjects: A Population-Based Study. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2021;18(4).
29. Bell ML, Catalfamo CJ, Farland LV, Ernst KC, Jacobs ET, Klimentidis YC, et al. Post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 in a non-hospitalized cohort: Results from the Arizona CoVHORT. PLoS One. 2021;16(8):e0254347-e.
30. Lopez-Leon S, Wegman-Ostrosky T, Perelman C, Sepulveda R, Rebolledo PA, Cuapio A, et al. More than 50 long-term effects of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Scientific Reports. 2021;11(1):16144.
31. Fernandez-de-Las-Penas C, Palacios-Cena D, Gomez-Mayordomo V, Palacios-Cena M, Rodriguez-Jimenez J, de-la-Llave-Rincon AI, et al. Fatigue and Dyspnoea as Main Persistent Post-COVID-19 Symptoms in Previously Hospitalized Patients: Related Functional Limitations and Disability. Respiration; international review of thoracic diseases. 2022;101(2):132-41.
32. Akçay D, Yıldırım A, Aksu S. Hemşirelerin Uyku Durumlarını Etkileyen Faktörler. Factors Affecting Sleep Status of Nurses. 2021;13(1):105-13.